A TROPICAL NULLSTELLENSATZ

EUGENII SHUSTIN AND ZUR IZHAKIAN

Abstract. We suggest a version of Nullstellensatz over the tropical semiring, the real numbers equipped with operations of maximum and summation.

1. Introduction

The tropical mathematics is a mathematics over *the tropical semiring*, the real numbers equipped with the operations of maximum and summation, corresponding to the classical operations of addition and multiplication, respectively (see in [7, 17] the representation of the tropical semiring as a limit of the semiring of non-negative real numbers $(\mathbb{R}_+, +, \cdot)$). Sometimes the tropical semiring is extended by $-\infty$, the neutral element for the maximum operation, but we shall not need it. We write the tropical operations in quotes, i.e.,

$$"a + b" = \max\{a, b\}, \quad "ab" = a + b .$$

A rapid development of the tropical mathematics over the last years, especially, of the tropical algebraic geometry, has lead to spectacular applications in the classical algebraic geometry (see, for example, [2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]). The tropical objects reveal unexpectedly much similarity with classical objects, which is based on the theory of large complex limits, logarithmic limits, non-Archimedean valuations, toric geometry etc. Here we suggest a tropical analogue of Nullstellensatz (in a bit different context this problem was stated in [1], Question 16).

Similarly to the classical case, we understand Nullstellensatz as a criterion for a polynomial to belong to the radical of an ideal. Let us give necessary definitions (cf. [3, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17]). *A tropical polynomial* in n variables is a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F(x) = "\sum_{\omega\in\Omega} c_\omega x^\omega" = \max_{\omega\in\Omega}(\langle x, \omega\rangle + c_\omega) , \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a non-empty finite set of points in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with non-negative coordinates, $\langle *, *\rangle$ denotes the scalar product, and $c_\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \Omega$. This is a convex piece-wise linear function. Its Newton polytope $\Delta(F)$ is the convex hull of the set Ω.

Date: August 22, 2005.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12K10, 13B25; Secondary 51M20.

Key words and phrases. Max-plus algebra, convex piece-wise linear functions, polynomial ideals, Nullstellensatz.

The first author was supported by the grant from the High Council for Scientific and Technological Cooperation between France and Israel and by the grant no. 465/04 from the Israel Science Foundation.

The second author was supported by the grant from the High Council for Scientific and Technological Cooperation between France and Israel.

The space of tropical polynomials in n variables is denoted by $"\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]\ "$[1]. For $F_1,...,F_k \in "\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]\ "$, we define *a tropical polynomial ideal* $I(F_1,...,F_k)$, *generated by* $F_1,...,F_k$ in $"\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]\ "$, as the set of tropical polynomials $G \in "\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]\ "$ representable in the form

$$G(x) = "\sum_{i\in J} h_i(x)F_i(x)\ " = \max_{i\in J}(h_i(x)+F_i(x)) \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where J is a finite non-empty subset of $\{1,2,...,k\}$, and $h_i \in "\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]\ "$, $i \in J$. The radical $\sqrt{I}$ of an ideal I is the set of polynomials G such that $"G^m\ " = mG \in I$ for some natural m. We ask the following question:

Given a tropical polynomial $F \in "\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]\ "$, under what conditions does F belong to $\sqrt{I(F_1,...,F_k)}$?

The answer, presented in the next section, is given in terms of "zero loci" of tropical polynomials $F, F_1,...,F_k$, like in the classical case.

2. A tropical polynomial Nullstellensatz

The amoeba $A(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of a tropical polynomial F in n variables is the corner locus of the graph of $F(x)$. This is a finite $(n-1)$-dimensional polyhedral complex, whose complement consists of open convex polyhedra. It plays the role of the zero locus of a tropical polynomial.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F, F_1,...,F_k$, $k \ge 1$, be tropical polynomials in n variables. Then $F \in \sqrt{I(F_1,...,F_k)}$ if and only if, for any connected component D of $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash A(F)$, there is $1 \le i \le k$ such that $D \cap A(F_i) = \emptyset$, and, for each $j = 1,...,n$,*

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D > 0 \quad \text{as far as} \quad \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D > 0 \ . \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. 1. Necessity. The function $mF\big|_D$ is linear. Hence it must coincide with one of the terms $(h_i+F_i)\big|_D$ in the expression $\max_i(h_i+F_i)\big|_D$, since otherwise the graph of the latter function would have a break inside D. Next, if $mF\big|_D = (h_i+F_i)\big|_D$, then both $h_i\big|_D$ and $F_i\big|_D$ must be linear in view of $A(h_i+F_i) = A(h_i) \cup A(F_i)$. Thus, $D \cap A(F_i) = \emptyset$. Observing that

$$m\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D = \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D + \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D \ge \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D \ ,$$

we obtain (2.1).

2. Sufficiency. Distribute the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash A(F)$ into disjoint subsets Π_i, $i \in J$, where $J \subset \{1,...,k\}$, such that, for any $i \in J$ and $D \in \Pi_i$, we have $A(F_i) \cap D = \emptyset$ and relation (2.1).

Fix some $i \in J$. Condition (2.1) yields that there is m_1 such that, for any $m \ge m_1$, one has

$$m\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D \ge \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\Big|_D, \quad D \in \Pi_i,\ j = 1,...,n \ ,$$

and hence the gradients of the linear functions

$$L_{D,m} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \quad L_{D,m}\big|_D = mF\big|_D - F_i\big|_D, \quad D \in \Pi_i,\ m \ge m_1 \ , \tag{2.2}$$

[1]The quotation marks mean that we supply this space by the tropical operations, maximum and summation.

have non-negative integral coordinates.

We claim that there is m_2 such that, for any $D \in \Pi_i$, in the complement of the closure of D, we have

$$mF > L_{D,m} + F_i \quad \text{as far as} \quad m \geq m_1 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Indeed, write $F = L + \Phi$, $F_i = L' + \Phi'$, where L, L' are linear functions, and Φ, Φ' are convex piece-wise linear functions, vanishing along D. Then $L_{D,m} = mL - L'$, and thus, $mF - L_{D,m} - F_i = m\Phi - \Phi'$. Since $\Phi > 0$ and $|\partial\Phi/\partial x_j| \geq 1$, $j = 1, ..., n$, outside $\overline{D}$, we obtain (2.3) when m_2 exceeds all the absolute values of the partial derivatives of Φ'.

Define $h_i = \max_{D \in \Pi_i} L_{D,m}$. This is a tropical polynomial as $m \geq m_1$, and due to (2.2), (2.3) it satisfies

$$(h_i + F_i)\big|_D = mF\big|_D, \quad (h_i + F_i)\big|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{D}} \ < \ F\big|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{D}}, \quad D \in \Pi_i, \quad m \geq m_2 \ .$$

That is $G = \text{"}F^m\text{"} = mF$ satisfies (1.2) for all sufficiently large m. □

Remark 2.2. From the above proof, one can extract an explicit upper bound to the minimal value of m such that "F^m" $\in I(F_1, ..., F_k)$.

Example 2.3. In the case $k = 1$, the criterion of Theorem 2.1 for $F \in \sqrt{I(F_1)}$ can be written as

- $A(F) \supset A(F_1)$, and
- for each $j = 1, ..., n$, $\partial F/\partial x_j(x) > 0$ as far as $\partial F_1/\partial x_j(x) > 0$, $x \notin A(F)$.

We shall comment on the first condition. One can assign integer positive weights to the $(n-1)$-cells of an amoeba so that it will satisfy an equilibrium condition (see [12], section 2.1). Taking m greater than the maximal ratio of weights in $A(F)$ and in $A(F_1)$, we then subtract the weight of an $(n-1)$-cell D of $A(F_1)$ from the multiplied by m weights of those $(n-1)$-cells of $A(F)$, whose interior intersects with D. The equilibrium condition persists after the subtraction due to its linearity, and we again obtain a balanced complex supported at $A(F)$. By [12], Proposition 2.4, it defines a convex piece-wise linear function h_1, which provides the relation $mF = h_1 + F_1$.

3. Modifications

3.1. **A tropical Laurent polynomial Nullstellensatz.** A tropical Laurent polynomial in n variables is a function given by (1.1), where Ω is any non-empty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Denote the space of tropical Laurent polynomials by "$L[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ". Correspondingly we define *the tropical Laurent polynomial ideal* $I^{\text{lau}}(F_1, ..., F_k) \subset$ "$L[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ", generated by Laurent polynomials $F_1, ..., F_k$, as the set of tropical Laurent polynomials representable in the form (1.2) with any non-empty finite $J \subset \{1, ..., k\}$, and $h_i \in$ "$L[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ", $i \in J$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $F, F_1, ..., F_k$, $k \geq 1$, be tropical Laurent polynomials in n variables. Then $F \in \sqrt{I^{\text{lau}}(F_1, ..., F_k)}$ if and only if, for any connected component D of $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash A(F)$, there is $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $D \cap A(F_i) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. The necessity is established in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. The sufficiency follows from Theorem 2.1, if one "multiplies" $F, F_1, ..., F_k$ by suitable tropical monomials, turning $F, F_1, ..., F_k$ into tropical polynomials, and making all the partial derivatives of F positive. □

3.2. **Restricted ideals and restricted Nullstellensatz.** In the space $"\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]"$ introduce *the restricted ideal, generated by tropical polynomials* $F_1,...,F_k$, as

$$I^r(F_1,...,F_k)=\Big\{G\in"\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]"\ :$$

$$G="\sum_{i=1}^k h_iF_i"=\max_{1\le i\le k}(h_i+F_i),\quad h_1,...,h_k\in"\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]"\Big\}\ .$$

Notice that $I^r(F_1,...,F_k)\subset I(F_1,...,F_k)$, but they may differ, for example, $x\notin I^r(x,"x+1")$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F,F_1,...,F_k$, $k\ge 1$, be tropical polynomials in n variables. Then $F\in\sqrt{I^r(F_1,...,F_k)}$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(i) *for any connected component D of $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash A(F)$, there is $1\le i\le k$ such that $D\cap A(F_i)=\emptyset$ and (2.1) is fulfilled;*
(ii) *there is m_0 such that $m\Delta(F)$ contains a translate of each Newton polytope $\Delta(F_1),...,\Delta(F_k)$ as far as $m\ge m_0$.*

Remark 3.3. Notice that condition (ii) always holds when $\dim\Delta(F)=n$.

Proof. 1. Auxiliary statement. Let $G,H\in"\mathbb{R}[x_1,...,x_n]"$. We claim that

- if $G\ge H$, then $\Delta(G)\supset\Delta(H)$;
- if $\Delta(G)\supset\Delta(H)$, then there is $c\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $G\ge H+c$.

Represent $\Delta(G)$ as intersection of finitely many halfspaces. Pick one of these halfspaces and apply an integral-affine automorphism Q of $\mathbb{R}^n$, taking the halfspace to $x_n\ge 0$. Since $G\circ Q^{-1}\ge H\circ Q^{-1}$, the Newton polytope $\Delta(H\circ Q^{-1})=Q(\Delta(H))$ cannot contain points with negative n-th coordinate. Indeed, otherwise we would have that, for $x_1,...,x_{n-1}=\text{const}$, $x_n\to-\infty$, the function $G(Q^{-1}(x))$ does not increase, whereas $H(Q^{-1}(x))$ tends to $+\infty$. Running over all halfspaces forming $\Delta(G)$, we conclude that $\Delta(G)\supset\Delta(H)$.

For the second statement, write

$$G(x)=\max_{\omega\in\Delta(G)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}(\langle x,\omega\rangle+a_\omega),\quad H(x)=\max_{\omega\in\Delta(H)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}(\langle x,\omega\rangle+b_\omega)\ .$$

Then one can take

$$c=\min_{\omega\in\Delta(H)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n}(a_\omega-b_\omega)\ .$$

2. Necessity. We have to prove only (ii). Observing that $\Delta(h_i+F_i)$ is the convex hull of few translates of $\Delta(F_i)$, we derive (ii) from the above auxiliary statement.

3. Sufficiency. A translate of $\Delta(F_i)$ is the Newton polytope of a tropical polynomial $"h_iF_i"$, where h_i is a tropical monomial (i.e, a linear function). Then, according to the auxiliary statement, $mF_i\ge(h_i+c_i)+F_i$ for sufficiently large m and certain constant c_i. Then, using Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$mF=\max_{i\in J}(h_i+F_i)=\max\left\{\max_{i\in J}(h_i+F_i),\ \max_{i\notin J}(h_i+c_i+F_i)\right\}\ .$$

□

3.3. **Nullstellensatz for convex piece-wise linear functions of finite type.** A function given by (1.1), where Ω is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we call a convex piece-wise linear function of finite type in n variables. Denote the space of such functions by $"PL(x_1,...,x_n)"$. As in the polynomial case, we define amoebas and finitely generated ideals $I^{\text{fun}}(F_1,...,F_k)$ in $"PL(x_1,...,x_n)"$, and obtain a corresponding Nullstellensatz:

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $F, F_1, ..., F_k \in$ "$PL(x_1, ..., x_n)$ ". *Then* $F \in \sqrt{I^{\rm fun}(F_1, ..., F_k)}$ *if and only if, for any connected component* D *of* $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash A(F)$, *there is* $1 \le i \le k$ *such that* $D \cap A(F_i) = \emptyset$.

Proof coincides with that of Theorem 3.1.

3.4. **Nullstellensatz for polynomials over an extended tropical semiring.** In [6], the second author introduced an extension $\mathbb{T}$ of the tropical semiring $\mathbb{R}$, obtained by adding one more copy of $\mathbb{R}$, which we denote by $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ and its elements by $a^\nu \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ as $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and equipped with the tropical operations

$$\text{"}a+b\text{"} = \begin{cases} \max\{a,b\}, & \text{if } a \ne b, \\ a^\nu, & \text{if } a = b, \end{cases} \qquad \text{"}a^\nu + b^\nu\text{"} = (\max\{a,b\})^\nu ,$$

$$\text{"}a+b^\nu\text{"} = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } a > b, \\ b^\nu, & \text{if } a \le b, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{"}ab\text{"} = a+b, \quad \text{"}a^\nu b^\nu\text{"} = (a+b)^\nu, \quad \text{"}ab^\nu\text{"} = (a+b)^\nu$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a natural epimorphism of tropical semirings:

$$\pi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \pi(a) = a, \ \pi(a^\nu) = a, \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{R} ,$$

which induces epimorphisms π_* of the tropical polynomial and tropical Laurent polynomial rings. The above variants of Nullstellensatz can easily be translated to the case of base semiring $\mathbb{T}$. We present here such a translation of Theorem 2.1.

For a polynomial $F =$ " $\sum_{\omega\in\Omega} c_\omega x^\omega$ " $\in$ "$\mathbb{T}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ", where Ω is a non-empty finite set of points with non-negative integral coordinates, we introduce an amoeba $A(F) := A(\pi_* F) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The restriction of $\pi_* F$ to a connected component D of $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash A(F)$ is a linear function $\langle \omega, x\rangle + \pi(c_\omega)$ for some $\omega = \omega(D) \in \Omega$. So we divide the set of the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash A(F)$ into two disjoint subsets $\Pi(F)$ and $\Pi^\nu(F)$, letting $D \in \Pi(F)$ or $D \in \Pi^\nu(F)$ according as $c_{\omega(D)} \in \mathbb{R}$ or $c_{\omega(D)} \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $F, F_1, ..., F_k \in$ "$\mathbb{T}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ", $k \ge 1$. *Then* $F \in \sqrt{I(F_1, ..., F_k)}$ *if and only if*

(i) *for any* $D \in \Pi(F)$, *there is* $1 \le i \le k$ *such that* D *is contained in some component* $D_i \in \Pi(F_i)$, *and, for each* $j = 1, ..., n$, *relation (2.1) holds true;*
(ii) *for any* $D \in \Pi^\nu(F)$, *there is* $1 \le i \le k$ *such that* $D \cap A(F_i) = \emptyset$, *and, for each* $j = 1, ..., n$, *relation (2.1) holds true.*

Proof. The necessity part is immediate in view of the proof of Theorem 2.1 and the fact that "$\mathbb{R}\mathbb{R}^\nu$ " $= \mathbb{R}^\nu$. To prove the sufficiency, we construct coefficients h_i of the expansion (1.2) to be in "$\mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ " $\subset$ "$\mathbb{T}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ " by the following modification of the procedure from the proof of Theorem 2.1:

- if $D \in \Pi(F)$, and $1 \le i \le k$ satisfies condition (i), then we put $h_i\big|_D = mF\big|_D - F_i\big|_x D$;
- if $D \in \Pi^\nu(F)$, and $1 \le i \le k$ satisfies condition (ii), then we put $h_i\big|_D = m \cdot \pi_* F\big|_D - \pi_* F_i\big|_D$.

Choosing a sufficiently large m, we complete the proof as that of Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 3.6. Let $F, F_1, ..., F_k \in$ "$\mathbb{T}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ", $k \ge 1$, and $I(F_1, ..., F_k) \supset$ "$\mathbb{R}^\nu[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ". Then $F \in \sqrt{I(F_1, ..., F_k)}$ if and only if condition (i) of Theorem 3.5 holds true.

Indeed, the relation $I(F_1, ..., F_k) \supset$ "$\mathbb{R}^\nu[x_1, ..., x_n]$" means that the set of generators $\{F_1, ..., F_k\}$ contains a constant polynomial $F_i = a^\nu$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus, condition (ii) always holds.

References

1. *Amoebas and tropical geometry.* Preprint available at http://www.aimath.org/WWN/amoebas.
2. M. Einsiedler, M. Kapranov, and D. Lind, *Non-Archimedean amoebas and tropical varieties.* Preprint at arXiv:math.AG/0408311.
3. I. Itenberg, *Amibes des variétés algébriques et denombrement de courbes [d'après G. Mikhalkin].* Séminaire N. Bourbaki **921**, vol. 2002-03, Juin 2003.
4. I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, *Welschinger invariant and enumeration of real rational curves.* International Math. Research Notices **49** (2003), 2639–2653.
5. I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, *Logarithmic equivalence of Welschinger and Gromov-Witten invariants.* Russian Math. Surveys **59** (2004), no. 6, 1093–1116.
6. Z. Izhakian, *Tropical Arithmetic and Algebra of Tropical Matrices.* Preprint at arXiv:math.AG/0505458.
7. V. N. Kolokoltsov and V. P. Maslov, *Idempotent Analysis and Applications.* Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1997.
8. M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations.* Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 203–263.
9. M. Kontsevich, M. and Yu. Tschinkel, *Nonarchimedean Kähler geometry.* Preprint, 2002.
10. G. Mikhalkin, *Counting curves via the lattice paths in polygons.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I, **336** (2003), no. 8, 629–634.
11. G. Mikhalkin, *Amoebas of algebraic varieties and tropical geometry.* Different faces of geometry/Donaldson, S. (ed.) et al. Kluwer, NY, 2004, pp. 257–300.
12. G. Mikhalkin, *Decomposition into pairs-of-pants for complex algebraic hypersurfaces.* Topology **43** (2004), 1035–1065.
13. G. Mikhalkin, *Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in* $\mathbb{R}^2$. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), 313–377.
14. E. Shustin, *A tropical approach to enumerative geometry.* Algebra i Analiz **17** (2005), no. 2, 170–214.
15. D. Speyer and B. Sturmfels, *The tropical Grassmannian.* Adv. Geom. **4** (2004), 389–411.
16. D. Speyer and B. Sturmfels, *Tropical Mathematics.* Preprint arXiv:math.CO/0408099.
17. O. Viro, *Dequantization of Real Algebraic Geometry on a Logarithmic Paper.* Proceedings of the 3rd European Congress of Mathematicians, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math, 201, (2001), 135–146.

School of Math. Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel
E-mail address: `shustin@post.tau.ac.il`

School of Computer Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel
E-mail address: `zzur@post.tau.ac.il`